

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/709,222 | 04/22/2004 | Marwan H. Khater | FIS920030410US1 | 3221 |
| 7 | 590 11/05/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| International Business Machines Corporation New Orchard Road | | | NGUYEN, JOSEPH H | |
| Armonk, NY | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 2815 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | 100 |
|--|---|---|------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/709,222 | KHATER, MARWAN H. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Joseph Nguyen | 2815 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet with | he correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANI | be timely filed) days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this communication ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | on. |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | |
| | his action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | | , prosecution as to the merits i | S |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde | r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1 | 1, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application | on. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd | rawn from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exami | iner. | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a | ccepted or b) objected to by | the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr | | | (d). |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attached C | ffice Action or form PTO-152. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure | ents have been received. ents have been received in App riority documents have been re | lication No | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a I | ist of the certified copies not red | ceived. | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Sum | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-10, drawn to a bipolar transistor, classified in class 257, subclass 565 and wherein:
- II. Claims 11-23, drawn to a method of making a bipolar transistor, classified in class 438, subclass +1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the Group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the Group II invention, since the product of the Group I invention could be made using another and materially different process from those of the Group II invention. For example, as an alternative to claim 11, selectively deposit the dielectric layer from within said second opening to form an undercut region underlying said raised extrinsic base layer without etching later in the process step.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter as shown by their different classification, the search required

Application/Control Number: 10/709,222 Page 3

Art Unit: 2815

for Group II is not required for Group I, and separate examination would be required, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1734. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30 am- 4:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for regular communications.

JN November 1, 2004

> JEROME/JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER